EXHIBIT I.8

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 3
                       EASTERN DIVISION
 5
    IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION )
    OPIATE LITIGATION
 6
                                 ) MDL NO. 2804
    -----) HON. DAN A. POLSTER
 7
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ) Case No. 1:17-md-2804
 8
    ALL CASES
 9
10
11
12
                     HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13
          SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
14
15
               The videotaped 30(b)(6) deposition of H.D.
16
    SMITH by and through GEORGE EUSON, called for
    examination, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of
17
    Civil Procedure of the United States District Courts
18
    pertaining to the taking of depositions, taken before
19
20
    JULIANA F. ZAJICEK, a Registered Professional Reporter
21
    and a Certified Shorthand Reporter, at the offices of
22
    Brown, Hay & Stephens, LLP, Suite 800, 205 South Fifth
23
    Street, Springfield, Illinois, on November 27, 2018,
24
    at 9:13 a.m.
```

- 1 depended on when you're -- a lot of that, if -- if we
- were bringing on a customer from another wholesaler,
- 3 we may not have that information. You know, as -- you
- 4 know, as, you know, time -- time went on and we
- 5 evolved our system, we started working more with
- 6 dispensing records, dispensing information so that we
- 7 could actually see what a pharmacy was dispensing, not
- 8 just what they may be buying from us but what they --
- 9 what their total book of business was.
- 10 Q. Was it common for pharmacies to purchase
- 11 controlled substances from more than one distributor?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Would -- would it also be common for
- 14 distributors to discontinue doing business with
- 15 customers that order too much controlled substances?
- 16 A. What do you mean by too much?
- 17 Q. Suspicious orders. So if a distributor
- 18 like H.D. Smith determined that its customers were
- 19 submitting suspicious orders, would H.D. Smith
- 20 discontinue doing business with that customer?
- 21 A. It was commonly our practice that if we
- identified orders that were suspicious and we reported
- them to DEA -- and part of our investigation, again,
- would be to go to the pharmacy, get dispensing

- 1 information, you know, launch an investigation. And I
- 2 would say more -- more times the norm would have been
- 3 that we would discontinue controlled substances to
- 4 that pharmacy if we had reason to believe there may be
- 5 diversion going on.
- 6 Q. And do you know whether or not that
- 7 pharmacy would seek to obtain the controlled
- 8 substances that it sought from H.D. Smith from another
- 9 distributor? In other words, was there communication
- 10 among distributors about these suspicious ordering
- 11 pharmacies?
- 12 A. No. There were -- at one time DEA was
- 13 sending out a list of pharmacies that other
- 14 wholesalers had either closed or declined to do
- 15 business with. That practice was discontinued after a
- 16 short time.
- 17 Q. Okay. Turning back to this guideline,
- 18 Section (d) talks about -- (d) talks about "Cumulative
- 19 Reviews Or Thresholds." It says:
- The system should contain a mechanism for
- 21 periodic review of cumulative orders from the same
- 22 customer over time, to evaluate trends in purchasing
- 23 patterns."
- Did the CSOMP system or policies and